

| Existing Service Guidelines | Proposed Changes to Service Guidelines |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Equity score comprised of two factors (race, income) | Equity score comprised of five factors (race, income, disability, foreign born, limited English speaker) |
| Does not specifically account for low- and medium-income job locations | Add consideration of low- and medium-income jobs locations to productivity score |
| Does not calculate weekend service need | Add target service levels for weekend service to cost estimates |
| Uses boardings instead of population | Use of population data instead of boardings data, and remove the “step 2” methodology which relies on boardings |
| Does not account for a pathway to Metro Connects | Add Metro Connects Corridors for Evaluation and Prioritization Use Metro Connects proposed service levels as a service minimum Use adjusted Service Guidelines Priority 3 methodology to establish service levels appropriate above and beyond Metro Connects |

Existing Methodology

- 50% productivity, 25% connectivity, and 25% social equity
- Two-factor methodology for equity score
- No LEHD jobs extra weighting in productivity score
- Uses boardings and step 2 methodologies
- Does not account for weekend service to cost estimates
- Uses boardings instead of population
- Prioritizes in order of Geographic Value (Connectivity), Productivity, Equity



Priority 3 Methodological Changes For All Scenarios

- Move to five-factor methodology for equity score
- Add LEHD jobs extra weighting in productivity score
- Add weekend service to cost estimates
- Replace boardings with population in equity scores and remove step 2 ridership methodology
- Use Metro Connects service levels as minimum level of service



Scenario 1: Prioritized in order of Geographic Value (Connectivity), Productivity, Equity

Scenario 2: Prioritized in order of Equity, Productivity, Geographic Value (Connectivity)

Scenario 3: Prioritized in order of Productivity, Equity, Geographic Value (Connectivity)